

EISENSTEIN POLYNOMIALS OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

EDOARDO DOTTI AND GIACOMO MICHELI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we compute the density of monic and non-monic Eisenstein polynomials of fixed degree having entries in an integrally closed subring of a function field over a finite field.

Keywords: Function fields, Density, Polynomials, Riemann-Roch spaces.

MSC: 11R58, 11T06

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us start with the definition of *Eisenstein polynomial* and *natural density*

Definition 1. Let R be an integral domain. A polynomial $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in R[X]$ is said to be Eisenstein if there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ for which

- $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$,
- $a_0 \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$,
- $a_n \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Definition 2. A subset A of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is said to have *density* a if

$$a = \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [-B, B]^n|}{(2B)^n}.$$

A classical result from the literature is that any Eisenstein polynomial is irreducible. In addition, observe that any polynomial of degree at most d and coefficients over $\mathbb{Z}$ can be regarded as an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, while any monic polynomial of degree d can be regarded as an element of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Recently, it has been of interest the explicit computation of the natural density of both degree d Eisenstein polynomials and monic Eisenstein polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$, see for example [3, 1].

As was first proved by Dubickas in [1], the natural density of monic Eisenstein polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ of fixed degree d is

$$(1) \quad \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p^{d+1}}\right).$$

Heyman and Shparlinski extended the results of Dubickas to general Eisenstein polynomials and computed the error term of the density [3, Theorem 1, Theorem 2].

The second author was partially supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant number 149716 and *Armatusuisse*.

In this paper we would like to establish a function field analogue of these results that will include all the cases in which R is selected as an integrally closed subring of a function field of a curve over a finite field.

The general case that we will analyse needs an appropriate definition of density which makes use of Moore-Smith convergence for directed sets, as described in [5]. For the moment, let us fix the notation for the basic structures we are going to deal with, which is essentially the same as in [6].

Let q be a prime power and $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of order q . Let F be a function field having full constant field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathbb{P}_F$ be the set of places of F and $\mathcal{S}$ a non empty proper subset of $\mathbb{P}_F$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}_P$ the valuation ring at a place P of F . Let $H = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{O}_P$ be the holomorphy ring associated to $\mathcal{S}$ [6, Definition 3.2.2]. As it is well known, H is a Dedekind Domain therefore any prime ideal is also maximal. In addition the maximal ideals of H correspond exactly to the places in $\mathcal{S}$ see [6, Proposition 3.2.9]. Therefore, if P is a place of F which lies in $\mathcal{S}$ there exists a unique maximal ideal $P_H \subseteq H$ corresponding to P for which $P \cap H = P_H$. In order not to heavier the notation, we will denote P_H again by P . Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of positive divisors of $\text{Div}(F)$ having support outside the holomorphy set $\mathcal{S}$. It is easy to observe

$$H = \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{L}(D)$$

and that $\mathcal{D}$ is a directed set.

Let now $A \subseteq H^m$, we define the *upper* and *lower density* of A as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A) &= \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|A \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^m|}{q^{m\ell(D)}}, \\ \underline{\mathbb{D}}(A) &= \liminf_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|A \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^m|}{q^{m\ell(D)}} \end{aligned}$$

where the limit is defined using Moore-Smith convergence over the directed set $\mathcal{D}$ (see [4, Chapter 2]). The *density* of A is then defined if $\underline{\mathbb{D}}(A) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A) =: \mathbb{D}(A)$.

As already observed in [5], if we specialize our definition of density to the case of the univariate polynomial ring over a finite field we get the usual definition of density for $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, see for example in [2, 7].

In addition, the final formulas for the density we get are analogous to the ones over the rational integers obtained in [1, 3].

The paper is structured as follows: in the next subsection we specify the notation we are going to use for the rest of the paper, in section 2 we compute the density of monic Eisenstein polynomials, in section 3 we apply a similar strategy to compute the density of general Eisenstein polynomials.

1.1. Notation. Throughout this paper, when Y is a set and m is a positive integer, we will denote by Y^m the cartesian product of m -copies of Y . To avoid confusion, the square of an ideal Q will then be denoted by $\widehat{Q} = Q \cdot Q$. Furthermore notice that in

the whole paper we consider polynomials of degree $d > 1$. To easier the notation, we fix an enumeration $\{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_i, \dots\}$ of the places of $\mathcal{S}$. Since we will deal with the density of both monic and non-monic Eisenstein polynomials, we have to distinguish the notation, which we clarify in the following two paragraphs.

Notation for monic Eisenstein polynomials: with a small abuse of notation we identify H^d with the set of all monic polynomials of degree d having entries over H . In particular, if $(h_0, \dots, h_{d-1}) \in H^d$ then h_i denotes the coefficient of the monomial of degree i . Furthermore, we denote by $\mathcal{E} \subset H^d$ the set of monic Eisenstein polynomials of degree d and by $\mathcal{N}$ its complement in H^d . We denote by $\mathcal{E}_i$ the set of monic polynomials which are Eisenstein with respect to Q_i :

$$\mathcal{E}_i = \{(h_0, \dots, h_{d-1}) \in H^d : h_i \in Q_i \ \forall i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\} \text{ and } h_0 \notin \widehat{Q}_i\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{N}_i$ the complement of $\mathcal{E}_i$.

Notation for Eisenstein polynomials: Analogously, we identify the set of all polynomials of degree d having entries over H with H^{d+1} . Let $\mathcal{E}^+ \subseteq H^{d+1}$ be the set of Eisenstein polynomials of degree d and $\mathcal{N}^+$ be its complement in H^{d+1} . We denote by $\mathcal{E}_i^+$ the set of polynomials which are Eisenstein with respect to Q_i :

$$\mathcal{E}_i^+ = \{(h_0, \dots, h_d) \in H^{d+1} : h_i \in Q_i \ \forall i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}, h_0 \notin \widehat{Q}_i \text{ and } h_d \notin Q_i\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{N}_i^+$ the complement of $\mathcal{E}_i^+$.

2. MONIC EISENSTEIN POLYNOMIALS

In this section we compute the density of monic Eisenstein polynomials via approximating the complement of $\mathcal{E}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{N}$) with $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t = \bigcap_{i=1}^t \mathcal{N}_i$. First we show that we can explicitly compute the density of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t$ (Proposition 3). Then, we give a criterion to check whether the approximation is “sharp”: i.e. whether the limit of the densities of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t$ converges to the density of $\mathcal{N}$ (Lemma 4). Finally, we verify that the conditions under which the approximation is sharp are verified (Theorem 5).

Proposition 3. *The density of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t$ is*

$$\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t) = \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1}{q^{(d+1)\deg(Q_i)}} \right).$$

Proof. Consider the map

$$\tilde{\phi} : H^d \rightarrow \left(H / (\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t) \right)^d,$$

which is defined componentwise by the reduction modulo the ideal $(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)$. Observe also that $\left(H / (\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t) \right)^d \simeq \prod_{i=1}^t \left(H / \widehat{Q}_i \right)^d$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Consider now a divisor $D \in \mathcal{D}$. In order to compute the density of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t$ it is enough to count how many elements there are in $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d$, when the degree of D is large.

We start by showing that $\mathcal{L}(D)^d$ maps surjectively onto $\left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right)^d$ when the degree of D is large enough.

For this consider the $\mathbb{F}_q$ linear map $\phi : \mathcal{L}(D) \rightarrow \left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right)$. We have $\ker(\phi) = \mathcal{L}(D) \cap (\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)$, which represents the elements of $\mathcal{L}(D)$ having at least a double root at each Q_i . Hence $\ker(\phi) = \mathcal{L}(D - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t Q_i)$.

By Riemann's theorem [6, Theorem 1.4.17], if the degree of D is large enough, the dimension of the kernel as an $\mathbb{F}_q$ vector space is

$$(2) \quad \ell\left(D - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t Q_i\right) = \deg\left(D - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t Q_i\right) + 1 - g = \deg(D) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \deg(Q_i) + 1 - g,$$

where g denotes the genus of the function field.

By the same theorem $\ell(D) = \deg(D) + 1 - g$. Hence we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{L}(D)/\ker(\phi)) = \ell(D) - \ell\left(D - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t Q_i\right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \deg(Q_i).$$

On the other hand, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}\left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right) \stackrel{CRT}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}\left(H/\widehat{Q}_1 \times \cdots \times H/\widehat{Q}_t\right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \deg(Q_i).$$

Therefore when the degree of D is large enough ϕ is surjective, thus $\tilde{\phi}$ is surjective.

Let $\psi_i : \left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right)^d \rightarrow \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^d$. We have the following situation:

$$\mathcal{L}(D)^d \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right)^d \xrightarrow{\psi} \prod_{i=1}^t \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^d,$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_t)$. Notice that the check for $f \in H^d$ not to be Eisenstein with respect to Q_i can be performed by looking at the reduction modulo $\widehat{Q}_i$. Therefore $f \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d$ if and only if $\psi_i \circ \tilde{\phi}(f) \notin \left((Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i) \setminus \{0\}\right) \times \left(Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d-1} =: E_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d = \tilde{\phi}^{-1}\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^t \left((H/\widehat{Q}_i)^d \setminus E_i\right)\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d| &= |\ker(\tilde{\phi})| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \left| \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^d \setminus E_i \right| \\ &= q^{d(\deg(D) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \deg(Q_i) + 1 - g)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \left| \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^d \setminus E_i \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2). Now it remains to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^d \setminus E_i \right| &= q^{2d \deg(Q_i)} - \left| \left((Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i) \setminus \{0\}\right) \times \left(Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d-1} \right| \\ &= q^{2d \deg(Q_i)} - \left(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1 \right) \cdot q^{(d-1) \deg(Q_i)} \\ &= q^{2d \deg(Q_i)} \left(1 - q^{-d \deg(Q_i)} + q^{-(d+1) \deg(Q_i)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for D of degree large enough

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^d|} &= \frac{q^{d(\deg(D) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \deg(Q_i) + 1 - g)}}{q^{d(\deg(D) + 1 - g)}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t q^{2d \deg(Q_i)} \left(1 - q^{-d \deg(Q_i)} + q^{-(d+1) \deg(Q_i)}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - q^{-d \deg(Q_i)} + q^{-(d+1) \deg(Q_i)}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1}{q^{(d+1) \deg(Q_i)}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t) = \lim_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^d|} = \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1}{q^{(d+1) \deg(Q_i)}}\right).$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \subseteq H^n$. Let $\{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of subsets of H^n such that $A_{t+1} \subseteq A_t$ and $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{N}} A_t = A$. Assume also that $\mathbb{D}(A_t)$ exists for all t . If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A_t \setminus A) = 0$, then $\mathbb{D}(A) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(A_t)$.*

Proof. We start from the equality $|A_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n| = |A \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n| + |(A_t \setminus A) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n|$, from which it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|A \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^n|} &= \liminf_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{|A_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^n|} - \frac{|(A_t \setminus A) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^n|} \right) \\ &\geq \liminf_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|A_t \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^n|} - \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|(A_t \setminus A) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^n|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^n|}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\underline{\mathbb{D}}(A_t) - \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A_t \setminus A) \leq \underline{\mathbb{D}}(A)$. Since $\mathbb{D}(A_t)$ exists for all t we get

$$\mathbb{D}(A_t) - \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A_t \setminus A) \leq \underline{\mathbb{D}}(A).$$

Now notice that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(A_t)$ exists since $\mathbb{D}(A_t)$ is decreasing and bounded from below. By taking the limit in t , the last expression then becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(A_t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A_t \setminus A) \leq \underline{\mathbb{D}}(A).$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A_t \setminus A) = 0$ by assumption, it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(A_t) \leq \underline{\mathbb{D}}(A)$.

On the other hand $A \subseteq A_t$ which implies $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(A) \leq \mathbb{D}(A_t)$. In particular $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(A) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(A_t)$. Combining all together we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(A_t) \leq \underline{\mathbb{D}}(A) \leq \overline{\mathbb{D}}(A) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(A_t),$$

therefore the claim follows. □

Theorem 5. *The density of the set of monic Eisenstein polynomials with coefficients in H is*

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) = 1 - \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{q^{\deg(Q)} - 1}{q^{(d+1) \deg(Q)}}\right).$$

Proof. We make use of Lemma 4 for the family $\{\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence we want to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \setminus \mathcal{N}) = 0$.

First note that

- $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \setminus \mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{r>t} \mathcal{E}_r \subseteq \bigcup_{r>t} Q_r^d$,
- $Q_r^d \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d = \mathcal{L}(D - Q_r) = 0$, if $\deg(D) - \deg(Q_r) < 0$.

Now we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \setminus \mathcal{N}) &= \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \setminus \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^d|} \leq \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left| \bigcup_{\substack{r>t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} Q_r^d \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^d \right| q^{-d\ell(D)} \\ (3) \quad &= \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left| \bigcup_{\substack{r>t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} \mathcal{L}(D - Q_r)^d \right| q^{-d\ell(D)} \leq \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\substack{r>t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} \frac{q^{d\ell(D - Q_r)}}{q^{d\ell(D)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that if $\deg(D - Q_r) \geq 0$ we have that $\ell(D - Q_r) \leq \deg(D - Q_r) + 1$ [6, Eq. 1.21] and also that $\ell(D) \geq \deg(D) + 1 - g$ by Riemann's theorem.

Hence we have that (3) is less or equal than

$$\limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\substack{r>t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} \frac{q^{d(1+\deg(D)-\deg(Q_r))}}{q^{d(\deg(D)+1-g)}} \leq \sum_{r>t} q^{d(g-\deg(Q_r))} = q^{dg} \sum_{r>t} q^{-d\deg(Q_r)}.$$

We now notice that $\sum_{r>t} q^{-d\deg(Q_r)}$ is the tail of a subseries of the Zeta function, which is absolutely convergent for $d > 1$. Letting t going to infinity the tail converges to 0, thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{D}}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t \setminus \mathcal{N}) = 0$. We are now able to apply Lemma 4 with $n = d$, $A_t = \overline{\mathcal{N}}_t$ and $A = \mathcal{N}$

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{N}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1}{q^{(d+1)\deg(Q_i)}} \right) = \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{q^{\deg(Q)} - 1}{q^{(d+1)\deg(Q)}} \right).$$

We conclude by taking the complement

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) = 1 - \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{N}) = 1 - \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{q^{\deg(Q)} - 1}{q^{(d+1)\deg(Q)}} \right).$$

□

3. NON-MONIC EISENSTEIN POLYNOMIALS

In this section we compute the density of Eisenstein polynomials applying the same strategy of section 2. For this let $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ = \bigcap_{i=1}^t \mathcal{N}_i^+$.

Proposition 6. *The density of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+$ is*

$$\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+) = \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q_i)}} \right).$$

Proof. Consider a divisor $D \in \mathcal{D}$. With the same reasoning of the monic case one can show that $\mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}$ maps surjectively onto $\left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right)^{d+1}$ when the degree of D is large enough.

Let $\psi_i : \left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right)^{d+1} \rightarrow \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d+1}$ as before. The situation is now the following:

$$\mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \left(H/(\widehat{Q}_1 \cdots \widehat{Q}_t)\right)^{d+1} \xrightarrow{\psi} \prod_{i=1}^t \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d+1},$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_t)$.

Analogously to the case of monic polynomials we note that we can verify that $f \in H$ is not Eisenstein with respect to Q_i by looking at the reduction modulo $\widehat{Q}_i$. Hence $f \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}$ if and only if $\psi_i \circ \tilde{\phi}(f) \notin \left((Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i) \setminus \{0\}\right) \times \left(Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d-1} \times \left((H/\widehat{Q}_i) \setminus (Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i)\right) =: E_i^+$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}| &= |\ker(\tilde{\phi})| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \left| \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d+1} \setminus E_i^+ \right| \\ &= q^{(d+1)(\deg(D) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \deg(Q_i) + 1 - g)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \left| \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d+1} \setminus E_i^+ \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(H/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d+1} \setminus E_i^+ \right| &= q^{2(d+1)\deg(Q_i)} - \left| \left((Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i) \setminus \{0\}\right) \times \left(Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i\right)^{d-1} \times \left((H/\widehat{Q}_i) \setminus (Q_i/\widehat{Q}_i)\right) \right| \\ &= q^{2(d+1)\deg(Q_i)} - \left(\left(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1\right) q^{(d-1)\deg(Q_i)} \left(q^{2\deg(Q_i)} - q^{\deg(Q_i)}\right) \right) \\ &= q^{2(d+1)\deg(Q_i)} \left(1 - \frac{q^{2\deg(Q_i)} - 2q^{\deg(Q_i)} + 1}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q_i)}} \right) \\ &= q^{2(d+1)\deg(Q_i)} \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q_i)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for D of degree large enough

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}|} &= \frac{q^{(d+1)(\deg(D) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \deg(Q_i) + 1 - g)}}{q^{(d+1)(\deg(D) + 1 - g)}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t q^{2(d+1)\deg(Q_i)} \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q_i)}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q_i)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+) = \lim_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}|} = \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q_i)}} \right).$$

□

Theorem 7. *The density of the set of Eisenstein polynomials with coefficients in H is*

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}^+) = 1 - \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q)}} \right).$$

Proof. Again by lemma 4 we have to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \setminus \mathcal{N}^+) = 0$.

Observe that $\mathcal{E}_r^+ \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1} \subseteq Q_r^d \times \mathcal{L}(D)$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \setminus \mathcal{N}^+) &= \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \setminus \mathcal{N}^+ \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}|}{|\mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}|} \\ &\leq \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left| \bigcup_{\substack{r > t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} \mathcal{E}_r^+ \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1} \right| q^{-(d+1)\ell(D)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left| \bigcup_{\substack{r > t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} (Q_r^d \times \mathcal{L}(D)) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1} \right| q^{-(d+1)\ell(D)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\substack{r > t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} \frac{|(Q_r^d \times \mathcal{L}(D)) \cap \mathcal{L}(D)^{d+1}|}{q^{(d+1)\ell(D)}} \\ &= \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\substack{r > t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} \frac{|Q_r \cap \mathcal{L}(D)|^d |\mathcal{L}(D)|}{q^{(d+1)\ell(D)}} \\ &= \limsup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\substack{r > t \\ \deg(Q_r) \leq \deg(D)}} \frac{|Q_r \cap \mathcal{L}(D)|^d}{q^{d\ell(D)}} \end{aligned}$$

which is equation (3). Hence for t going to infinity we obtain $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+ \setminus \mathcal{N}^+) = 0$.

We now apply Lemma 4 with $n = d + 1$, $A_t = \overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+$ and $A = \mathcal{N}^+$ obtaining

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{N}^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}_t^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q_i)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q_i)}} \right) = \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q)}} \right).$$

We now take the complement

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}^+) = 1 - \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{N}^+) = 1 - \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left(1 - \frac{(q^{\deg(Q)} - 1)^2}{q^{(d+2)\deg(Q)}} \right).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Artūras Dubickas. Polynomials irreducible by eisenstein's criterion. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, 14(2):127–132, 2003.
- [2] X. Guo and G. Yang. The probability of rectangular unimodular matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 438(6):2675–2682, 2013.

- [3] Randell Heyman and Igor E Shparlinski. On the number of eisenstein polynomials of bounded height. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, 24(2):149–156, 2013.
- [4] J. L. Kelley. *General topology*. New York: Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [5] Giacomo Micheli and Reto Schnyder. On the density of coprime m -tuples over holomorphy rings. *To appear in International Journal of Number Theory*, 2014. URL [arXiv:1411.6876](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.6876).
- [6] H. Stichtenoth. *Algebraic function fields and codes*, volume 254. Springer, 2009.
- [7] H. Sugita and S. Takanobu. The probability of two $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ -polynomials to be coprime. *Probability and number theory, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 49:455–478, 2007.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND,

E-mail address: `edoardo.dotti@uzh.ch`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND,

E-mail address: `giacomo.micheli@math.uzh.ch`